ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M08782 Date Received: 05/03/12 Date Extracted: 05/04/12 Date Analyzed: 05/04/12 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:

Client:

Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M08782, F&BI 205053

205053-01 x10 205053-01 x10.038 ICPMS1

AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 87

Lower Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 276 224 Nickel 300 Copper Zinc 14.6

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor M08782, F&BI 205053

Date Extracted: 05/04/12 Lab ID: I2-289 mb
Date Analyzed: 05/04/12 Data File: I2-289 mb.033
Matrix: Unstrument: ICPMS1

Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 90 60 125

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 <1</td>

 Nickel
 <1</td>

 Copper
 <1</td>

 Zinc
 <1</td>

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 05/07/12 Date Received: 05/03/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M08782, F&BI 205053

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 204420-01 (Matrix Spike)

	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Acceptance	RPD (Limit 20)	
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria		
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	5.08	123 b	130 b	71-130	6 b	
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	5.13	119 b	128 b	71-120	7 b	
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	8.23	132 b	142 b	52-134	7 b	
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	41.9	174 b	183 b	51-142	5 b	

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent								
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance					
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria					
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	106	80-119					
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	107	83-119					
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	81-120					
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	106	82-120					

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- ${\bf J}$ The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

300000000000000000000000000000000000000		-	Same W. 47/14 was	AND AND AND AND									28+80W		8	Com. 1 Separus 1 Hompson Com. 1 Separus Cooper Cooper Com. 1 Separus UA 98184 1 206-574-6033 11 206-387-4709
			XM										01	·	.	ALASKAN GROWN ALASKAN GROWN (628 S. HANTON (628 S. HATON (628 S. HATON (628 S. HANTON (628 S. HATON (628 S. HAN
		Down		4 . 17 KE									5/3/10		Date	1 Hompson Per Works WA 98134 WA 98134
		V										1/00		. 7		
		POC?	Gercio						•				40	5°	£1.7	PROJECT NAMEANO. PROJECT NAMEANO. REMARKS
		9 3	≱ ∖										1		*	Sizer Sizer
	1 hanpar		-	1			12 58horel	Trovition								
Н		,		H	\exists	\exists		\exists				1	+	+	VOCS by sees SVOCS by sees	
Samoles		T487	JA CE	CHAIN.	1		1				+	1	7	-	Cec us 2	28±3014
Samples received at		7		3	1	+	1	7			+	+	+	+		2
4		//	4/2/5	1	-	+	7	7	7	4	+	#	#	+		
3		Ž.	12:58												Ŧ	The second state of the se

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

May 7, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 3, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M08782, F&BI 205053 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0507R.DOC